

Addendum A

Issued 22 April 2024

Katherine Dog Pound New Class 7B Animal Storage Structure

Design & Construct T24-03

Closing Time and Date:12pm Monday 13th May, 2024Method of Lodgement:Electronic Tender Response via Vendor Panel portal



CONTENTS

SITE	.2
WATER	.3
DESIGN – AMENDMENTS	.3
DESIGN – HEIGHT REQUIREMENTS	.3
DESIGN – FLOOR LEVEL	.3
CLARIFICATION – FOOD BOWLS	3
ANIMAL & RANGER SAFETY	.3
PERIMETER FENCING	4
INFORMATION – EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEM	4
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING	4
SCHEDULE 3 – FINANCIAL CAPACITY	4
TENDER ASSESSMENT	.5
SCORING EVALUATION CRITERIA	.5
	WATER DESIGN – AMENDMENTS DESIGN – HEIGHT REQUIREMENTS DESIGN – FLOOR LEVEL CLARIFICATION – FOOD BOWLS ANIMAL & RANGER SAFETY PERIMETER FENCING INFORMATION – EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING SCHEDULE 3 – FINANCIAL CAPACITY TENDER ASSESSMENT



1. SITE – REVISED STIE

The specified site is to the east and south-east of the 'Tip Shop' at the Waste Management Facility.



Please note this site has been relocated and is in a different area of the Waste Management Facility to the exact site discussed during the Q and A. The site is cleared, reasonably flat, and tenderers should include all site costs.



2. WATER

Tenderer to connect to existing on-site bore system, including all plumbing materials, valves etc. A new water pump may be required at the site of the dog pound and is to be included by the tenderer. Water source to be connected to taps at convenient locations throughout the facility, to ensure all cages can be easily reached by hose. One Hose Reel is required to service the primary dog enclosures and for cleaning purposes. The proposed waterpipe is to be connected to the existing Water Monitoring Point on site.

3. **DESIGN – AMENDMENTS**

Dog enclosures must be walled at 1700mm high between each other to ensure dogs in adjoining cages do not fight and have vision between each other.

Dog enclosures must ensure dogs and staff do have vision between the cages and the internal access corridor, and between cages and the surrounding landscape.

4. DESIGN – HEIGHT REQUIREMENTS

2400mm minimum floor to ceiling (or underside of structure) throughout. Must allow for fans at minimum height of 2400mm.

5. DESIGN – FLOOR LEVEL

The proposed floor level is to be a minimum of 150mm above natural ground level, or above scraped/flat site.

It is anticipated that the finished floor will fall between 150-300mm above ground level.

It is intended that the dogs will be vacated from the facility before a likely severe flooding event.

6. CLARIFICATION – FOOD BOWLS

The tender documentation states that food bowls are required, and autofill are preferred. Autofill bowls which allow for animal overeating are not preferred.

7. ANIMAL & RANGER SAFETY

All materials are to be designed and fixed to ensure there are no sharp edges or other hazards to animal or human welfare.



8. **PERIMETER FENCING**

Perimeter fencing is to be 'Black Powdercoated Garrison or similar', or security fencing that is unable to be easily cut with bulk cutters.

9. INFORMATION – EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEM

Details of the existing septic tank size at the depot cannot be found.

Tenderer is to propose a new system capable of servicing the new facility, which includes additional dog enclosures.

10. CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING

Council is seeking to award the contract for a term agreed by both parties. The proposed date of practical completion will be <u>Friday 13th December 2024.</u>

11. SCHEDULE 3 – FINANCIAL CAPACITY

Schedule 3A must be filled out by the tenderer, unless an alternative method of pre-qualification is provided which proves financial capacity. Alternative methods are:-

- Contractor Accrediation Ltd (CAL) details.
- A letter signed by a certified practising accountant dated no earlier than 7 days prior to tender submission



12. TENDER ASSESSMENT

Tender assessment will be completed by a panel of 3 staff who will conduct their scoring assessments independently. A recommendation will be made by the panel from the highest scoring tenderer(s) in accordance with Part A Tender Conditions. This will be reported to council for selection of a preferred tenderer.

12.1 Scoring Evaluation Criteria

Council intends to award the contract based on the following criteria:

ltem	Evaluation Criteria	
1	Price / Value	60%
2	Capacity	15%
3	Local Development	15%
4	Value Adding	10%

Scores for each criteria will be determined based on the following:

ltem	Evaluation Criteria
1	 Price / Value The <i>Price / Value</i> score for each tender submission will be determined based on the tender price, or, the portion of the tender price which relates to the works specified in the RFT, and, adherence to the specification (including addendum). If a tenderer has proposed additional value to council beyond the minimum requirements of the specification, the additional value may be excluded from the overall tender price for the purposes of scoring <i>Price / Value</i>. A lower assessment tender price will only apply if the additional value meets all of the following criteria:- It is clearly detailed in the tender submission It is corroborated by the panel as additional value beyond scope Cost can be reasonably extracted from the tender price for a fair comparison It is determined to be added value which council desire If all of the above criteria do not apply, the full tender price will be assessed. Lower assessment tender prices will score higher. Tenders which show they have fully incorporated the specification will score higher.
2	Capacity The tenderer's capacity to complete the works as displayed in their tender submission and return schedules
3	Local Development The tenderer's contribution to local development as displayed in their tender submission and return schedules
4	Value Adding The tenderer's added value as displayed in their tender submission and return schedules